DOCTORAL COMPETENCY PROJECT REVIEWER'S REPORT FORM

Reviewer to whom submitted:		Date Submi	tted:		
Project # 1	Title/Author	or:			
Please evaluate the enclosed p	roject in tern	ns of the criter	ria given below and ret i	urn within two weeks of date	
submitted. Rate each criterion	in accordance	e with the foll	owing scale:		
5 = excellent $4 = $ pro	etty good	3 = so-so	2 = not very good	1 = poor	
CONTENT (not necessarily in	n order of im	portance)			
Clearly describes the pr	oblem and di	iscusses its ba	ckground and validity		
Cites a sufficient number	er of related s	sources and ap	propriately applies then	n	
Clearly states the purpo	se of the stud	dy			
Clearly states the proceed	dures	•			
Employs procedures wh		opriate to the	ourpose of the study		
Presents and analyzes d			•		
Clearly states the conclu	usions		_		
Bases conclusions and i	nterpretation	s on the data i	reported		
Discusses the contributi	ons (implica	tions and/or a	oplications) which the f	indings make to	
education/therapy					
Overall rating of content					
JMT JRME		`	dicate one)		
WRITING STYLE AND OR	GANIZATI	ION			
Arranges content such t	Arranges content such that ideas are effectively communicated				
_	Adheres to a writing/documentation/reference style (APA, Turabian)				
Uses accepted forms of grammar; spells and punctuates correctly					
Overall rating of writing	g style and or	rganization			
PUBLICATION RECOMM	ENDATION	I			
Consider the above rating as w	ell as your o	verall impress	ion of the manuscript. I	Indicate your recommendation	
below in accordance with the f	following sca	ıle:			
5 = publish; or revise w			_		
4 = revise; <i>some</i> chang				ults	
3 = revise; major change	=	-	- -		
2 = do not publish; maj					
1 = do not publish; inho	erent incorre	ctable method	lological problems		
RATING =					
Signature		Date			
Return this form to advisor:					